

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VADEN ANDERSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-00127-NONE-BAM

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST  
AND MOTION FOR ELECTRONIC CASE  
MANAGEMENT CREDENTIALS  
PRIVILEGES AND PACER ACCESS

(Doc. 9)

Vaden Anderson (“Plaintiff”), proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis*, filed the instant action on January 19, 2021. (Doc. 1.) On July 15, 2021, Plaintiff filed the instant motion seeking credentials and privileges for electronic filing in the Court’s case management (CM/ECF) system and PACER access. (Doc. 9.)

Pursuant to the Local Rules, a pro se party shall file and serve paper documents and may not utilize electronic filing unless granted permission by the Court. L.R. 133(a)-(b). A pro se party may request an exception to the paper filing requirement from the Court by filing a stipulation of the parties, or “if a stipulation cannot be had, [a] written motion[] setting out an explanation of reasons for the exception.” L.R. 133(b)(3).

///

Upon review of the pleadings in this action and the motion submitted, the Court finds that the request does not warrant an exception to the Local Rule. Plaintiff attests that he intends to objectively adhere to CM/ECF and PACER filing rules and guidelines, but Plaintiff fails to provide any reasons for the need to use electronic filing. (Doc. 9 at 1.) Given this, the motion does not comply with the requirement to submit a request explaining the reasons for an exception.

6 Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for permission to utilize electronic filing is HEREBY  
7 DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 || Dated: July 19, 2021

/s/ *Barbara A. McAuliffe*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE